

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 ROBERT J. JACKSON,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01711-MMD-VCF

8 Petitioner,

ORDER

9 v.

10 BRIAN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.


12 The Court directed petitioner to show cause why this action should not be
13 dismissed because it appeared that petitioner had not yet exhausted his state-court
14 remedies. Petitioner has not filed a response to the Court's order within the allotted time.
15 A review of the Nevada Supreme Court's on-line docket shows that petitioner's direct
16 appeal still is pending. *Jackson v. State*, No. 71726.¹ Consequently, the petition is
17 unexhausted entirely.

18 Reasonable jurists would not find the Court's conclusion to be debatable or wrong,
19 and the Court will not issue a certificate of appealability.

20 It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed for petitioner's failure to exhaust
21 his available state-court remedies. The Clerk of the Court will enter judgment accordingly
22 and close this action.

23 It is further ordered that a certificate of appealability is denied.

24 DATED THIS 6th day of December 2017.

25 
26 MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27 _____
28 ¹<http://caseinfo.nvsupremecourt.us/public/caseView.do?csIID=41948> (report
generated December 4, 2017).